## COPY

1	Eric J. Benink, Esq., State Bar No. 187434 eric@beninkslavens.com Vincent D. Slavens, Esq., State Bar No. 217132					
2	vince@bennikstavens. LLP.	FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR OF SAN BERNARDINO				
3	Son Diego, CA 92101	SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT				
4	Tel: 619-369-5252 Fax: 619-369-5253	JUN 2 8 2019				
5	Thomas A. Kearney, State Bar No. 90045	BYAMBER M. GEAR, DEPUTY				
6	tak@kearneylittlefield. State Bar No. 259049	AMBERING				
7	pwl@keameylittlefield.com					
8	3436 N. Verdugo Rd., Ste. 230 Glendale, California 91208					
9	Tel: 213-473-1900 Fax: 213-473-1919					
10	Attorneys for Petitioner and Plaintiff	All				
11		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO					
13	1	Case No.: CIVDS1725027				
14 15	CHRISTINA LOPEZ-BURTON, an individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Assigned for all purposes to Hon. David S. Cohn				
16	Petitioner and Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ERIC J. BENINK IN				
17	ν.	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF				
18		CLASS SETTLEMENT				
19	TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY, a general law city; and DOES 1-10,	Petition/Complaint Filed: December 20, 2017				
20		DATE: July 23, 2019				
21	Respondents and Defendants.	TIME: 8:30 a.m. DEPT: S26				
22						
23						
24	I, Eric J. Benink, declare as follows:					
25	1	ioner Christina Lopez-Burton ("Burton"). I am duly				
26	•	a and am a partner of Benink & Slavens, LLP f/k/a				
27		e personal knowledge of all of the facts stated below				
28	and if called upon, I could and would testify comp	petently thereto.				
	1					

- 2. I have been actively involved in all aspects of this litigation since its inception. My cocounsel Prescott W. Littlefield and I have conducted extensive formal discovery including: propounding to the Town of Apple Valley ("Town") Requests for Production of Documents (two sets), Special Interrogatories (two sets), Requests for Admission, and Form Interrogatories; reviewing 8,000+ pages of documents produced by the Town; subpoening and reviewing documents from third-party Burrtec Waste Industries ("Burttec") (1,600+ pages); deposing third-party witness Richard Nino from Burrtec, third-party witness Marc Puckett (former Town Finance Director) and a PMQ for the Town, Kofi Antobam, on 18 separate topics; and reviewing and analyzing the Town's expert report and declaration regarding the value of the "franchise fee." We have requested and received information directly from the Town's attorneys as well to better understand and corroborate various aspects of the issues raised herein. I previously represented my law firm as a plaintiff in a Public Records Act lawsuit against the Town to obtain utility-related documents including documents pertaining to the franchise fee at issue herein (that lawsuit was successful).
- 3. The parties participated in two separate mediations with the Hon. Jeffrey King (Ret.) on February 28, 2019 and March 22, 2019. The settlement negotiations during each mediation session was adversarial and at arm's length. Participating on Plaintiff's side were Prescott Littlefield and myself. Burton participated in the first mediation session by telephone as she was recovering from pneumonia and in the second mediation session in person. On the Town's side, the Town's outside counsel Richard Egger and Town Manager Doug Robertson participated in both sessions. The settlement reached in principle during the March 22, 2019 session required subsequent Town Council approval, which I understand was given during a closed session on March 26, 2019. Between March 26, 2019 and June 25, 2019, the parties negotiated and drafted the terms of the formal Settlement Agreement (and its exhibits), which was executed on June 27, 2019, and is separately filed herewith.
- 4. The class period begins on July 24, 2016 because it marks the one-year date prior to the date Burton submitted a government claim on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated seeking a refund under the Government Claims Act. (Gov't Code § 911.2 [requiring claims for

damages to be presented within one year].) Thus, claims for charges imposed prior to July 24, 2016 are barred. According to the Town's discovery responses, there are approximately 22,400 class members (Account Holders).

- 5. The Town asserted during settlement negotiations that it planned to raise rates by 4-5% each year over the next few years.
- 6. Our investigation has revealed that the Town has transferred or budgeted to transfer \$6,021,653 as "franchise fees" in Fiscal Year 16-17 through Fiscal Year 18-19. It has also transferred or budgeted to transfer \$3,251,429 as administrative overhead in Fiscal Year 16-17 through Fiscal Year 18-19. However, Burton does not claim that all of the administrative overhead transfers are improper. In the Petition, she raised specific issues regarding a portion of the General Gov't Services budget: expenses related to the Town's golf course and parks and recreation department. In Fiscal Year 16-17, the Town budgeted the Solid Waste Fund to (indirectly) pay golf course and parks and recreation department costs totaling \$286,744 (of the \$2,076,309 budgeted to be transferred in total or ~14%.) But the Town only actually transferred \$789,489 that year. The Town transferred \$1,672,540 in Fiscal Year 17-18, but there is no cost allocation plan identifying how these funds were allocated. In Fiscal Year 18-19, the Town budgeted \$789,000 in total, but again there is no cost allocation plan identifying how these funds were allocated.
- 7. This novel case brings uncertainty and the probable risk of extended delay because the losing party is likely to appeal any adverse ruling. I am not aware of any case where a court has adjudicated the legality of a franchise fee embedded in property-related rates imposed directly by a local agency. I am confident about Burton's legal position, but Proposition 218 and 26 cases have been hotly litigated in the Court of Appeal and the California Supreme Court. I am aware that the landscape can change and has changed suddenly and unexpectedly in this area. I view appellate proceedings as a detriment to ratepayers because it deprives them of immediate and substantial benefits. The *Jacks v. City of Santa Barbara* case, for example, was originally filed in the trial court in 2011 and after remand, is still being litigated in the trial court.

- 8. Even if this Court were to agree with Burton that a franchise fee imposed directly by a local government is not a cost of providing service under article XIII D, section 6, the Town has adduced evidence through its expert that it incurs costs in the form of a deferred maintenance impact of \$1.9 million per year that is nearly the amount of the annual franchise fee. (See Declaration of Bradford Thompson in Support of Town of Apple Valley's Opposition to Opening Brief, filed March 20, 2019, ¶ 16.) If this argument succeeded, Class Members would be entitled to a tiny fraction of the damages sought.
- 9. With regard to administrative overhead issues, the Town argued in its Opposition Brief to Burton's Opening Brief that it makes these transfers "because the Solid Waste Fund does not exclusively support operation of the Town's multi-million dollar solid waste and recycling service program" and "operation of the solid waste program requires basic operational tools and support staff including accounting software and payment for services..." It claims that it previously prepared its own cost allocation plans, but in 2016, a third party, Cost Recovery Specialist, prepared a draft cost allocation plan that it has used as a reference point for transfers in Fiscal Years 16-17 through 18-19. It argues the courts have recognized that "some fees are not easily correlated to a specific, ascertainable cost" and "[c]ourts afford agencies a reasonable degree of flexibility to apportion the costs of regulatory programs in a variety of reasonable financing schemes." (See Opposition Brief, filed March 20, 2019, pp. 19-22.) It relies on a case, *Moore v. City of Lemon Grove,* which is a published appellate case wherein I represented a sewer ratepayer and lost. Thus, I have firsthand knowledge of the flexibility that courts have sometimes afforded the government when calculating reimbursements.
- 10. Finally, Burton is mindful of the Town's size as well. The Town's General Fund budget is approximately \$32 million and the Solid Waste Fund's budget is approximately \$11.2 million. If Burton prevailed on all issues, it could be extremely disruptive to the Town's ability to deliver other services which is not an outcome Burton desires.
- 11. My firm and I have substantial class action experience as reflected in our Firm Résumé attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. And as the Firm Résumé demonstrates, my firm and I have extensive experience representing ratepayers and taxpayers in Proposition 26 and Proposition 218

	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	8	

2

3

cases. I estimate that over the past two years, 90% of our cases have involved Proposition 26 and Proposition 218 issues. In 2018, I was appointed co-lead class counsel in *Eck v. City of Los Angeles* (BC577028) which provided a settlement of \$52 million (common fund) and \$241 million in future savings for Los Angeles Department of Water and Power electric customers based on alleged violations of Proposition 26 and Proposition 218.

- 12. An itemization of costs incurred to date and for which my firm will seek reimbursement in this matter is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.
- 13. I believe that through the prosecution of dozens of Proposition 218 and Proposition 26 and consumer class action cases, I have a deep appreciation for the risks and possible outcomes for the Class in this matter. In light of the novel issues raised herein, the uncertainty of the outcome, the possibility that the Court would find the Town's cost allocation methods sufficient, in whole or in part, and the probable risks of delay following a litigated judgment, I believe that the proposed Settlement which requires the Town to establish a \$3,150,000 Common Fund and freeze rates until July 1, 2021 is fair, adequate and reasonable and is in the best interest of Class and should be approved.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 27, 2019 in San Diego, CA.

Eric J. Benink, Esq.

## BENINK & SLAVENS, LLP FIRM RÉSUMÉ

Benink & Slavens, LLP is a boutique law firm located in San Diego, CA that focuses on the representation of ratepayers and taxpayers in actions against cities, towns, counties, and special districts throughout California.

## Eric J. Benink, Partner

Mr. Benink was admitted to the California Bar in 1997. He received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from the University of Massachusetts - Amherst in 1992 and a joint Juris Doctor and Master of Business Administration degree from the University of San Diego in 1996.

In 1997, Mr. Benink began working in the Enforcement Division of the Department of Corporations (now the Department of Business Oversight), California's securities, commodities, franchise, and finance and mortgage lender regulator. He investigated dozens of illegal stock offerings, private placement frauds, illicit brokerage practices, and Ponzi schemes; and brought civil and administrative actions against the perpetrators. He also worked closely with criminal agencies in their prosecution of violators of laws under the jurisdiction of the Department.

In 2002, Mr. Benink joined Krause & Kalfayan as an associate and in 2005, became a partner in the firm, which was renamed Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens, LLP (KKBS) and then renamed to Benink & Slavens, LLP in 2019. He represents consumers, businesses and shareholders in securities, consumer fraud, business litigation, in actions in state and federal court. He has prosecuted consumer and business litigation cases against Wells Fargo Bank, Sprint, Ticketmaster, Fleet Bank, and Apple Computer. He has represented hedge funds in securities actions.

Mr. Benink is the author of The Model State Commodities Code, A Regulator's Perspective, published in the Law Enforcement Reporter, Winter 1999. He has testified as a securities expert witness for the San Diego District Attorney's Office and has been appointed by the California Superior Court as a receiver in five securities/investment fraud cases (three civil and two criminal). As a receiver he has seized and liquidated assets, including bank accounts, securities accounts, vehicles, and real estate; initiated adversary proceedings against third parties on behalf of the receivership estate; developed and implemented victim distribution plans; and prepared reports to the appointing courts. In December 2018, the San Mateo Superior Court (Judge Ayoob) appointed him a receiver, pursuant to Penal Code section 186.1,1 in *People v. Gamos*, et. al., Case No. 18SF014404AB.

Mr. Benink is a member of the San Diego County Bar Association, the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, a graduate of LEAD San Diego, and former President of the Old Mission Rotary Club (2009 - 2010) and current member. He is a former member and Vice-Chair of the Board of Directors for the George G. Glenner Alzheimer's Centers, Inc. He has been a contributor to the Trial Bar News, a publication of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego. He is a member of the

Art Pratt Foundation which funds charitable causes throughout San Diego county. He was designated a *Super Lawyer* by Super Lawyers magazine in each year, 2014 - 2019.

Mr. Benink acted as lead counsel in *Shames v. City of San Diego*, (San Diego Superior Court, Case No. GIC 831539), a class action that recovered \$40 million for residential sewer customers for violations of Proposition 218. He has also prosecuted numerous class actions cases, including but not limited to: *Soto v. STI Prepaid, LLC* (San Diego Superior Court, Case No. GIC868083) (violation of prepaid calling card statute); *Neborsky v. Redem Technologies, Inc.* (San Diego Superior Court, Case No. GIC GIC804280) (securities fraud); *Milne v. Ticket Innovations, Inc.* (breach of fiduciary duty to shareholders) (Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC 311258); *Ruffalo v. En Pointe Technologies, Inc.* (United States District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 3:01-cv-00205 BEN-AJB) (federal securities fraud); *Rivera v. Sprint International Communications Corp.*, (San Diego Superior Court, Case No. GIC799868) (international phone overcharges); and *Horn v. Commercial Lending Capital, Inc.*, (Riverside Superior Court, Case No. RIC10019819) (illegal lender advance fees).

His focus today is in the representing ratepayers and taxpayers in cases alleging illegal utility fees and taxes imposed by local government in violation of Proposition 218 and Proposition 26 and has presented Proposition 218 to civic organizations. Some of the cases he has prosecuted and/or is currently prosecuting include:

*Eck v. City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court*, Case No. BC557082 (co-lead counsel in class action securing \$52 million in electric ratepayer refunds and \$243 million in injunctive relief);

*Milo v. Coachella Valley Water District*, Riverside Superior Court, Case No. PSC1600403 (class action obtaining \$2 million in water fee credits based on violations of Prop. 218);

Glendale Coalition for Better Government v. City of Glendale, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BS153253 (obtained writ of mandate re: City's water rate structure for violation of Prop. 218);

*Hobbs, et al. v. Modesto*, Stanislaus Superior Court Case No. 2019186 (class action alleging illegal taxes disguised as electric rates);

*Mahon, et al. v. City of San Diego*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00014540 (KKBS appointed co-lead counsel in class action alleging illegal taxes disguised as electric franchise fees [on appeal following adverse summary judgment ruling]);

*Lejins v. City of Long Beach*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS165724 (settlement providing \$12 million in return of transfers of water and sewer fees from City's general fund);

*Shames v. City of San Diego*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. (settlement restoring \$40 million to residential sewer rate payers);

*Rooney v. City of Pasadena*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS145352 (challenging transfers to City's general fund (settlement restoring \$7.2 million));

*Moreno v. City of Riverside*, Riverside Superior Court Case No. RCI 1210249 (challenging water fee transfers to City's general fund (settlement restoring \$10 million));

Spencer v. City of Burbank, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS145021 (challenging transfers to City's general fund (settlement restoring \$1.5 million));

*Jackson, et al. v. City of Lincoln*, Placer County Superior Court, Case No. SCV0039384 (settlement restoring over \$1 million to water rate customers);

Spencer v. City of Burbank, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BS162779 (obtained writ of mandate ordering City to cease imposition of 6.5% surcharge embedded in electric rates);

Sacramento Taxpayers Assoc. v. Carmichael Park District, Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 2014-80001869 (writ of mandate obtained invalidating property assessments);

*Monroe v. City of Sacramento*, Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 2018-00243701 (challenging property and business improvement district assessments);

Horizon Capital Investments, et al. v. City of Sacramento, Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 2017-80002661 (obtained ruling invalidating Mello-Roos special tax to fund street car operations); and

*Pearson v. Rodeo Hercules Fire Protection Dist.*, Contra Costa Superior Court Case No. MSN14-1137 (settlement regard legality of fire assessments).

## Vincent D. Slavens, Partner

Mr. Slavens is a litigation attorney practicing in the areas of municipal, securities, business/contracts, and consumer litigation. He earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Corporate Finance from San Diego State University in 1994 and graduated with honors (*magna cum laude*) from California Western School of Law in 2001. Prior to law school, he worked as an investment broker for several of years. Mr. Slavens was a member of the California Western School of Law, Law Review. After passing the California Bar in 2001, he joined Krause & Kalfayan as an associate attorney and has put his securities experience to use in securities litigation, including arbitration matters with the National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD), now FINRA. In 2005, he became a partner in the firm, which was renamed Krause

Kalfayan Benink & Slavens, LLP. In 2019, the firm name was renamed to Benink & Slavens, LLP.

Over the past several years, Mr. Slavens has successfully represented investors, businesses, ratepayers and consumers in a variety of matters ranging from individual actions to complex class actions. He successfully defended individuals and corporations against multi-million dollar claims involving complex issues. Through his creative litigation and trial tactics, Mr. Slavens has participated in the recovery of tens of millions of dollars for the benefit of his clients and class members. He has extensive experience litigating individual and class actions in federal and state court, and arbitrating claims before AAA, FINRA and other arbitration forums. In addition, he is an experienced appellate advocate. Some of Mr. Slavens' successes include obtaining a jury verdict exonerating his clients of all liability in a complex multimillion dollar case alleging fraud and negligence. After a 25-day jury trial and four days of deliberations, the jury returned a unanimous verdict in favor of Mr. Slavens' clients. He further represented his clients in their successful defense of the verdict on appeal.

Mr. Slavens has acted as counsel in securities class actions such as *Glea F. Bobbs v. Southern Pacific Equities, LLC*, involving a multi-million Ponzi scheme. He also handled an arbitration on behalf of nearly 20 investors in *Larner, et al v. Wedbush Morgan Securities, et al* (alleging misrepresentations and violation of securities laws). He has also represented ratepayers and taxpayers in cases alleging illegal utility fees and taxes imposed by local government in violation of Proposition 218 and Proposition 26. Some of the cases he has prosecuted and/or is currently prosecuting include:

Hobbs, et al. v. Modesto, Stanislaus Superior Court Case No. 2019186 (appointed co-lead counsel in class action alleging illegal taxes disguised as electric rates);

Mahon, et al. v. City of San Diego, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00014540 (appointed co-lead counsel in class action alleging illegal taxes disguised as electric franchise fees);

Eck v. City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC557082 (class action securing \$52 million in electric ratepayer refunds and \$243 million in injunctive relief)

*Rooney v. City of Pasadena*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS145352 (alleging transfer of utility revenue to City's general fund in violation of Proposition 218 (settled restoring \$7.2 million);

*Spencer v. City of Burbank*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS145021 (alleging transfer of utility revenue to City's general fund in violation of Proposition 218 (settled restoring \$1.5 million);

Wilson v. City of Anaheim, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2012-00614517 (alleging transfer of utility revenue to City's general fund in violation of Proposition 218 (settled restoring \$3 million);

*Palmer v. City of Anaheim*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2017-00938646 (alleging City's electric utility rates impose a tax in violation of Proposition 26);

*Green v. City of Palo Alto*, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 16CV300760 (appointed co-lead counsel in case alleging City's electric utility rates impose a tax in violation of Proposition 26);

Wyatt v. City of Sacramento, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 16CV300760 (obtain judgment that City's utility rates are invalid and its transfer of funds from its utility funds to its general fund violates Proposition 218; City is appealing);

Komesar v. City of Pasadena, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC677632 (alleging City's electric utility rates impose a tax in violation of Proposition 26); and

*Pearson v. Rodeo Hercules Fire Protection Dist.*, Contra Costa Superior Court Case No. MSN14-1137 (challenged legality of fire assessments - settled).

Mr. Slavens has also written an article on whistle blower standing under the RICO statutes, and an article titled "<u>They Heard It Through the Grapevine</u>" accepted for publication in Trial Bar News.

0 -	lection	O 1 -	
~ a	IDCTION	CITIE	בוזג

Slip.Transaction Dat

1/1/2017 - 5/31/2019 Include: AV Garbage

Clie.Selection Slip.Classification Slip.Transaction Typ Open 2 - 2

Rate Info - identifies rate source and level

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description 110154	EXP		User Activity Client Reference Robin Griffin	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
10/31/2017 Billed Research - Octobe	G:33837 er	11/22/2017	Lexis/Westlaw AV Garbage			
110682 12/21/2017 Billed Knox #4237441 (K filing of Summons	EXP G:33893 1557366-01) - Filing & Petition		Robin Griffin Filing fee AV Garbage	1	1,435.00	1,435.00
110683 12/21/2017 Billed Knox #4237441 (K Summons & Petitic	EXP G:33893 1557366-01) - Filing on		Robin Griffin Courier Charge AV Garbage	1	143.50	143.50
110727 12/31/2017 Billed Copy charges for D	EXP G:33893 December, 2017	1/12/2018	Robin Griffin Photocopy AV Garbage	1	0.25	0.25
110747 12/31/2017 Billed Scan charges for D	G:33893 December, 2017	1/12/2018	Robin Griffin Scanning AV Garbage	18	0.10	1.80
110843 1/9/2018 Billed Knox #4239125 (K & Petition	EXP G:33917 1557709) Service of		Robin Griffin Service Fees AV Garbage	1	99.75	99.75
110916 12/21/2017 Billed Knox #4237440 (K Petition with the co	EXP G:33917 1557366) Filing of S urt		Robin Griffin Courier Charge AV Garbage	1	65.75	65.75

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description			User Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
110932 1/17/2018 Billed Fax Filing - Proof	EXP G:33917 of Service of S&P	2/14/2018	Robin Griffin E-File/Fax File AV Garbage	1	2.00	2.00
110976 1/31/2018 Billed Scan charges for	EXP G:33917 January, 2018	2/14/2018	Robin Griffin Scanning AV Garbage	15	0.10	1.50
111174 2/28/2018 Billed Copy charges for l	EXP G:33949 February, 2018	3/13/2018	Robin Griffin Photocopy AV Garbage	4	0.25	1.00
111195 2/28/2018 Billed Printing charges fo	EXP G:33949 or February, 2018	3/13/2018	Robin Griffin Printing AV Garbage	4	0.25	1.00
111196 2/28/2018 Billed Scan charges for I	EXP G:33949 February, 2018	3/13/2018	Robin Griffin Scanning AV Garbage	13	0.10	1.30
111220 2/28/2018 Billed Postage for Febru	EXP G:33949 ary, 2018	3/13/2018	Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	0.68	0.68
111319 2/28/2018 Billed AmEx - Shell Oil -	EXP G:33949 2-28-18 CMC hearing		Vincent D. Slavens, Es Travel Expense AV Garbage	1	35.85	35.85
111326 3/1/2018 Billed Chase - Fax filing	EXP G:33982 of Joint CMC Stateme		Robin Griffin E-File/Fax File AV Garbage	1	7.00	7.00
111807 4/30/2018 Billed Copy charges for /	EXP G:34015 April, 2018	5/4/2018	Robin Griffin Photocopy AV Garbage	25	0.25	6.25
111818 4/30/2018 Billed Printing charges fo	EXP G:34015 or April, 2018	5/4/2018	Robin Griffin Printing AV Garbage	20	0.25	5.00

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status			User Activity Client	Units DNB Time Est. Time	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
Description			Reference	Variance		
111834 4/30/2018 Billed Scan charges for A	EXP G:34015 April, 2018	5/4/2018	Robin Griffin Scanning AV Garbage	28	0.10	2.80
111855	EXP		Robin Griffin	1	2.05	2.05
4/30/2018 Billed Postage for April, 2	G:34015 2018	5/4/2018	Postage AV Garbage			
112234 6/25/2018	EXP		Robin Griffin Filing fee	1	20.00	20.00
Billed Knox #4260505 (K	G:34082 (1568323) Filing fee etition Hearing & Brie	for Stip Re:	AV Garbage			
112260 6/25/2018	EXP		Robin Griffin	1	100.75	100.75
Billed Knox #4260505 (K	G:34082 (1568323) - Filing of tition Hearing & Brief	Stip Re:	Courier Charge AV Garbage			
112284 6/30/2018	EXP		Robin Griffin Printing	5	0.25	1.25
Billed Printing charges fo	G:34082 or June, 2018	7/16/2018	AV Garbage			
112285 6/30/2018	EXP		Robin Griffin Scanning	1,844	0.10	184.40
Billed Scanning charges	G:34082 for June, 2018	7/16/2018	AV Garbage			
112301 6/30/2018	EXP		Robin Griffin Postage	1	0.68	0.68
Billed Postage charges for	G:34082 or June, 2018	7/16/2018	AV Garbage			
112510 7/31/2018	EXP		Robin Griffin Lexis/Westlaw	1	6.57	6.57
Billed July, 2018 Researc	G:34110 ch charges	8/23/2018	AV Garbage			
112513 7/31/2018	EXP		Robin Griffin Postage	1	3.67	3.67
Billed July, 2018 - Postaç	G:34110 ge	8/23/2018	AV Garbage			

Slip ID  Dates and Time  Posting Status  Description			User Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
7/31/2018			Robin Griffin Reproduction AV Garbage	1	25.15	25.15
8/31/2018 Billed (	EXP G:34145 9/17 charges for August, 2016	7/2018	Robin Griffin Reproduction AV Garbage	1	3.30	3.30
8/31/2018			Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	0.68	0.68
8/31/2018			Robin Griffin Research Exp AV Garbage	1	23.59	23.59
9/30/2018 Billed (	EXP G:34181 10/18 arges for September, 20	8/2018	Robin Griffin Reproduction AV Garbage	1	4.75	4.75
9/30/2018			Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	0.94	0.94
10/8/2018 Billed 0	EXP G:34214 11/14 Road for travel to Depo o	4/2018	Robin Griffin Travel Expense AV Garbage	1	6.49	6.49
10/5/2018 Billed	EXP G:34214 11/14 witness fees payable to	4/2018	Robin Griffin Depo/Subpoena AV Garbage	1	53.00	53.00
10/29/2018 Billed	EXP G:34214 11/14 anscripts from Depo of F	4/2018	Robin Griffin Depo/Subpoena AV Garbage	1	967.95	967.95
11/2/2018 Billed	EXP G:34253 1/23 J3851779 - Delivery of A	3/2019	Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	18.60	18.60

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description Discovery - Set 2 to	to OPC Lomakin		User Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
113292 10/31/2018 Billed Print, Copy & Scar	EXP G:34214 1 n charges for October		Robin Griffin Reproduction AV Garbage	1	474.35	474.35
113312 10/31/2018 Billed Postage for Octob		1/14/2018	Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	0.68	0.68
	EXP G:34253 1575713 - Service of N poena on Marc Pucke	Notice of	Robin Griffin Service Fees AV Garbage	1	129.75	129.75
113458 11/30/2018 Billed Copy, Print & Scar	EXP G:34253 charges for November		Robin Griffin Reproduction AV Garbage	1	15.20	15.20
113485 11/30/2018 Billed Postage Charges t	EXP G:34253 for November, 2018	1/23/2019	Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	1.83	1.83
113515 10/5/2018 Billed 10-5-18 Mileage re Depo of Richard N	eimbursement for trave		Eric J. Benink, Esq. Travel Expense AV Garbage	170	0.545	92.65
113516 12/6/2018 Billed 12-6-18 Mileage re Depo of Marc Puck	imbursement for trave		Eric J. Benink, Esq. Travel Expense AV Garbage	170	0.545	92.65
113540 12/13/2018 Billed Print documents from			Robin Griffin Misc. costs AV Garbage	1	23.50	23.50
113544 12/6/2018 Billed Meals during Depo	EXP G:34253 of Marc Puckett - Pol	1/23/2019	Eric J. Benink, Esq. Meals AV Garbage	1	11.56	11.56

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description 113579	EXP		User Activity Client Reference Robin Griffin	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value 1,229.15
12/20/2018 Billed Centext Invoice #1 Marc Puckett 12-6	G:34253 65101 - Depo and tra -18		Reporters Cost AV Garbage			
113632 12/31/2018 Billed Copy/Print/Scan cl	EXP G:34253 narges for December,	1/23/2019 , 2019	Robin Griffin Reproduction AV Garbage	1	31.60	31.60
113705 12/28/2018 Billed USPS - mailing of	EXP G:34253 discovery responses	1/23/2019	Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	6.70	6.70
113756 12/6/2018 Billed Witness fees for D	EXP G:34253 epo of Marc Puckett	1/23/2019	Robin Griffin Depo/Subpoena AV Garbage	1	51.40	51.40
113941 2/4/2019 Billed Toll Roads - Toll ro PMK	EXP G:34336 pad fees for travel to c		Eric J. Benink, Esq. Travel Expense AV Garbage	1	16.75	16.75
114041 2/15/2019 Billed UPS #1ZF8255203 Brief Docs to OPC	798373534 Delivery o		Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	22.04	22.04
114095 2/4/2019 Billed Centext Invoice #1 Antobam, PMQ	EXP G:34336 67490 - Transcript of		Robin Griffin Depo/Subpoena AV Garbage	1	2,192.48	2,192.48
114101 2/28/2019 Billed JAMS Mediation fe @ 10:00 a.m.	EXP G:34336 es Ref. #1220061519		Robin Griffin Mediation Fees AV Garbage	1	2,129.00	2,129.00
114104 2/22/2019 Billed UPS #1ZF8255201 Fees Deposit to JA	192510004 Delivery o		Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	19.30	19.30

Slip Listing

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description	User Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
114152 EXP 2/28/2019 Billed G:34336 4/2 Print, Copy, Scan charges for February, 20	Robin Griffin Reproduction 26/2019 AV Garbage 119	1	590.80	590.80
114344 EXP 3/27/2019 Billed G:34336 4/2 Knox #4290619/K1583236 filing of Notice of Settlement & POS	Robin Griffin Courier Charge 26/2019 AV Garbage of	1	128.75	128.75
114464 EXP 2/4/2019 Billed G:34336 4/2 Mileage reimbursement to EJB for travel to 4740 Green River, Corona, CA 218 miles r/		218	0.58	126.44
114465 EXP 2/28/2019 Billed G:34336 4/2 Mileage reimbursement to EJB for travel to Mediation: 3800 E. Concours Dr., Ontario, 0 miles r/t		242	0.58	140.36
114466 EXP 3/22/2019 Billed G:34336 4/2 Mileage reimbursement to EJB for travel to Mediation: 3800 E. Concours Dr., Ontario, 0 miles r/t		242	0.58	140.36
114473 EXP 4/4/2019 Billed G:34378 5/10 Knox #4291273/K1583236-02 - Filing of No Settlement & POS	Robin Griffin Courier Charge 0/2019 AV Garbage otice of	1	106.75	106.75
114608 EXP 2/28/2019 Billed G:34336 4/20 Legal research for February, 2019	Robin Griffin Lexis/Westlaw 6/2019 AV Garbage	1	10.37	10.37
114614 EXP 3/31/2019 Billed G:34336 4/20 Copy, Print & Scan charges for March, 2019	Robin Griffin Reproduction 6/2019 AV Garbage 9	1	3.65	3.65

Slip ID  Dates and Time Posting Status Description			User Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
114640 3/31/2019 Billed Postage charges fo	EXP G:34336 or March, 2019	4/26/2019	Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	0.50	0.50
114676 2/15/2019 Billed Delivery of opening Superior Court via	EXP G:34336 g brief to San Bernard courier.		Robin Griffin Courier Charge AV Garbage	1	225.00	225.00
114726 4/30/2019 Billed Print/Copy/Scan ch	EXP G:34378 narges for April 2019	5/10/2019	Robin Griffin Reproduction AV Garbage	1	10.30	10.30
114757 4/30/2019 Billed Postage charges fo	EXP G:34378 or April 2019	5/10/2019	Robin Griffin Postage AV Garbage	1	0.65	0.65
	EXP G:34411 e of Entry of Order Re Stip to Vacate Hearin OS	e: : Notice	Robin Griffin E-File/Fax File AV Garbage	1	10.72	10.72
Grand Total			Billable Unbillable Total	0.00 0.00 0.00	-	11,273.47 0.00 11,273.47